

United States v. Adams, No. 24 Cr. 556 (DEH)

Exhibit F

March 25, 2025 Government Submission

From: + [REDACTED] Andrew .Rohrbach
 To: + [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten (owner)

I was trying to write one this morning too, without much luck. Trying to frame it around notions like conspiracy as a different way to think about it

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
+ [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten		9/5/2024 10:57:29 AM(UTC-4)	

Status: Read

9/5/2024 10:57:29 AM(UTC-4)

From: + [REDACTED] Andrew .Rohrbach
 To: + [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten (owner)

Liked "But maybe is a different way to start to frame it "

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
+ [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten		9/5/2024 10:57:34 AM(UTC-4)	

Status: Read

9/5/2024 10:57:33 AM(UTC-4)

From: + [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten (owner)

Dans view when he called me yesterday to complain about the paragraph was that it should have three themes: 1 receipt of illegal contributions and luxury travel 2 concealment and papering 3 culminated in TCO

Status: Sent

9/5/2024 10:59:35 AM(UTC-4)

From: + [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten (owner)

Not that we have to propose that, but my personal preference would just be to delete the first paragraph. I think that purpose Dan Rob and Lara (or some of them) think it serves is to highlight themes.

Status: Sent

9/5/2024 11:01:10 AM(UTC-4)

From: + [REDACTED] Celia V. .Cohen
 To: + [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten (owner)

I'm fine with that. My separate thought is we did a lot of gymnastics around the influence point and maybe making him the one exploiting the corrupt relationship works better.

Participant	Delivered	Read	Opened
+ [REDACTED] Hagan C. .Scotten		9/5/2024 11:04:47 AM(UTC-4)	

Status: Read

9/5/2024 11:01:50 AM(UTC-4)